IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF ALABAMA

R		F. 1	VI	
	rock chie	Section 2	70 4	

of plainti	and p	rison numbe	2001 SEP 21 EBRA P. HAG U.S. DISTRIC MIDDLE DIST	7, 1,51		_{NO.} Д	M-Cv-	851-M
MAYOR, BOBBI	E BRIG	GHT.CHIEF O	F POL-)	(∯6^}be U.S.	e suppli Distric	ed by ct Cour	Clerk t)	of
ICE, AUTHOR)					•
			,					
ENS, OFFICER)					
SHERIFF, D. 1	'.MARS	HALL, JUDGE,	LYNN G.)					
BRIGHT, JUDG			· Y			•		
ATTORNEY, AN			,					
ATTORNEY, DE ANNA COOK.)					
DEFENDANTS,	ET,AL IOUS I Have deali actic Have relat If yo in th descr		other law imprison to A or How. (Inditional esame of this property)	vsuits in the comment? Is is yes there lawsuit intline.)	n state YES (, descr: is more s on and	or fed) NO ibe eac	deral control (XX) ch laws one law	court
				N/.	Α			
		Defendant(s)		N/A			
					1	N/A	·····	
	2.	Court (if : state court	federal d t, name	court, n the coun	ame the	distri N/A	ict; if	:
	•		N/A	<u> </u>				
		-						

GROUND ONE: I WAS ILLEGALLY ARRESTED WITH A COMPLAINT THAT HAD ANOTHER PERSON'S NAME ON IT, (DONNA TEETER) WERE THE COMPLAINT WAS NOT SIGNED BY A WARRANT CLERK OR BY A JUDGE OR MAGRISTRATE. WHICH VIOLATED BY CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS TO BE FREE FROM A WRONGFULL ARREST.

STATE BRIEFLY THE FACTS WHICH SUPPORT THIS GROUND. (State as best you can the time, place and manner and person involved.)

IWAS ARRESTED BY TWO OFFICERS, K.D. BOWENS AND REEVES, WHERE THE COMPLAINT AND THE AFFIDAVIT WAS NOT SIGNED BY OR INTTALED BY A JUDGE OR A WARRANT CLERK OR A MAGRISTRATE. WERE THE COMPLAINT HAD ANOTHER PERSONS NAME ON IT (DONNA TEETER AND IT WAS DATED FOR NOVEMBER OF 2004. WITH ANOTHER WARRANT NUMBER ON IT WHICH WAS SCRACHED THREW AND THE PLAINTIFF'S NAME WAS PLACED ON THE COMPLAINT. THEN THE PLAINTIFF FILED FOR A PRELIMINARY HEARING ON THE 12/5/04. WERE THE HEARING WAS NOT GIVEN UNTIL THE 1/27/05.PAST THE TIME AS OF THE RULES OF COURT UNDER 5.1(a) WHERE A MOTION WAS PRESENTED TO DISMISS AND THE JUDGE LYNN C. BRIGHT GROUND TWO: WOULD NOT HONOR THE MOTION AS OF THE RULES OF COURT, WHICH CLEARLY VIOLATED THE EQUAL PROTECTION RIGHTS OF THE PLAINTIFF, AND VIOLATED THE CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS THEN ATTORNEYS, ANTHONY TODD CARTER, AND DEBRA HOLLIS WAS APPOINTED TO BY THE COURTS, WHERE BOTH ATTORNEYS GOT OFF THE PLAINTIFFS CASE WITHOUT FILING ANY FORMAL MOTIONS AS OF THE RULES OF COURT UNDER 6.2(c) WHICH VIOLATED THE RIGHTS OF THE PLAINTIFF, AND VIOLATED DUE-PROCESS AND PERJURED THE PLAINTIFF TO HAVING A FAIR TRIAL, ANNA COOK WAS THE ONE WHO DEFEND'D THE PLAINTIFF, BUT NOTHING IN THE RECORD SHOW'S THAT SHE WAS EVER APPOINTED BY THE COURTS, THIS IS A CLEAR VIOLATION OF THE PLAINTIFFS RIGHTS TO HAVING A FAIR TRIAL, AND IT HAS CAUSE"D SERIOUS INJURY, WHILE THE NAMED DEFENDANTS WERE ACTING UNDER COLOR OF STATE LAW KNOWING TO DEPRIVE THE PLAINTIFF OF HIS RIGHTS SECURED BY THE GROUND THREE: CONSTITUTION OF THE UNITED STATES TO DEPRIVE A CITIZEN OF HIS LIBERTY KNOWING WHILE ACTING UNDER COLOR OF STATE LAW, JUDGE, CHARLES PRICE KNEW THREW LETTERS AND DOCUMENT OF THE VIOLATION BUT FAILED TO CORRECT THEM, THEREFORE HE ALSO SUPPORTING FACTS: CAN BE HELD LIABLE WHILE ACTING UNDER COLOR OF STATE LAW. TO VIOLATE THE RIGHT OF THE PLAINTIFF TO DEPRIVE A CITIZEN OF THEIR RICHTS WHICH ARE SECURED BY THE CONSTITUTION OF THE UNITED STATES AND KNOWLY VIOLATE THE EQUAL PROTECTION OF THE LAW WHILE ACTING UNDER COLOR OF STATE LAW(S) THAT ARE OWED TO A CITIZE N, WHERE THE DEFENDANTS HAVE NOT FOLLOWED THE RULES OF COURT AT ALL CAN BE HELD LIBILE WHILE UNDER COLOR OF STATE LAW CLEARLY VIOLATED THE PLAINTIFFS

RIGHTS SECURED BY THE UNITED STATES AS A CITIZEN IN DEALING WITH A CRIMINAL

PROCEDING.

VI. STATE BRIEFLY EXACTLY WHAT YOU WANT THE COURT TO DO FOR YOU. MAKE NO LEGAL ARGUMENT. CITE NO CASES OR STATUTES.

PUNTIVE DAMAGES IN THE AMOUNT OF \$25.000.000.FROM EACH DEFENDANT JOINTLY AND SEVERALLY. AND \$20.000,000. COMPENSATORY DAMAGES FROM EACH DEFENDANT JOINTLY AND SEVERALLY. A TRIAL BY JURY AND COST IN THE SUIT AND ANY ADDITIONAL RELIEF THE COURTS SEE FIT OR JUST IN THIS CAUSE OF ACTION.

Signature of plaintiff(s)

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

EXECUTED on

Signature of plaintiff(s)

"AFFIDAVIT"

COMES. NOW I MICHAEL ANTHONY WHALEY STates as OF The TRUth In This MATTER. That on December 2, 2004, at NO Time Did MR, Aloysius Thaddeus Henry, B/M Robbed Me at The speedy's Seillice Station, I Told A Lie Which Caused him To be assested Wongfully and Illegally. This Nevel HAPPen Where I have A Drug Orobiem and I Clearly lied on him, This is To Retrack any Statement easiger given To Police, or Detectives. This Man Never Robbed Me at all-For The \$800 I Spent Done on this The 22nd day of December 2006.

AFFIDAVIT

COMES NOW, I MICHAEL ANTHONY Whaley STates Under The Denalty OF Purtury. That on December, 2nd 2004.

At NO Time Did AloySIUS Traddeus HENRY, B/M Robb Me at The Speedy's Service Station at 1175 W. South BLVd. Montgomery, Alabama 36108, I Told A Lie, Which has caused him to be Assested Wrongfully and Illegally. This Robbery Never has happen, where I have A Brug Problem and I Clearly Lied on him. I would like To Retrack any, and all Statements That I have easilyer given to The Police, OR The Detectives with The Montgomery Police Department.

Aloysius Theddeus Henry, Never Robbed Me at all I Spent The \$800 ON Drugs in smiler court Just As The Accused Stated That I did on December, 2nd 2004.

IM Making This Statement, and AFFIDAVIT, Where I have Not been Threaten or made to Sign anything. This comes on my own free will as of The Truth in This matter where Mr. Heavy should be Released From Phison he never Robb me at all I creary lied.

Alleged Vietom Michael Whales 149599

Alleged Vietom Mikas A- Whales 249599
MIChael AN thous Whales;

S Worn to and Subscribed before me - day of December 2006

NOTARY Conthia M

MY COMMISSION EXPINES 12-15-2009

Witness: 249421 STEFRANIOK. HAMPTON